

Message

From: Olson, Bryan [Olson.Bryan@epa.gov]
Sent: 9/13/2018 4:28:07 PM
To: Lindsay, Jane [lindsay.jane@epa.gov]
CC: Dunn, Alexandra [dunn.alexandra@epa.gov]; Dixon, Sean [dixon.sean@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: Isn't this relevant to our meeting tomorrow w Dave Ross? FW: OSRR Weekly Notes 09/12/18
Attachments: Final PFAS Guidance Signed 4-Sep 18.pdf

Jane,
Would you mind forwarding this email and attachment to David Ross in preparation for the call? Alex suggested that we get this to him. Bryan

From: Olson, Bryan
Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2018 11:29 AM
To: Dunn, Alexandra <dunn.alexandra@epa.gov>; Dixon, Sean <dixon.sean@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Isn't this relevant to our meeting tomorrow w Dave Ross? FW: OSRR Weekly Notes 09/12/18

FYI. Here is the recent Army guidance. A relevant passage below. I will review further before 1 pm.

Paragraph 5.a. (6th page of the pdf) includes this language:

5. INVENTORY AND PRIORITIZATION:

a. The Army shall review and identify potential sites where PFAS releases may have occurred. Consistent with the DoD's "worst first" approach, potential PFAS release sites will be prioritized and sequenced along with other DERP or CC sites for further action based on risk, with higher risk sites being addressed before lower risk sites, in consideration of other factors. Sites where human exposure to contaminated drinking water exists will be addressed first and as quickly as possible (e.g., treatment at the distribution point, such as well head treatment, or by providing bottled water under a Time-Critical Removal Action) to eliminate the exposure, and will be subsequently prioritized and sequenced to conduct the investigations and response actions necessary to characterize and, if necessary, remediate the source of PFAS contamination.

Essentially, if Ayer turned their well back on and put it back into their distribution system, the Army would take action "as quickly as possible" using a "Time-Critical Removal Action". We obviously would rather Ayer not do that and thankfully have taken the correct approach.

Bryan